

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,579 03/26/2004		03/26/2004	Horn-Bond Lin	ARL 04-34	9288
21364	7590	06/05/2006	EXAMINER		
		RCH LABORATO	DIRAMIO, JACQUELINE A		
ATTN AMSRL CS CC IP 2800 POWDER MILL RD				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ADELPHI,	MD 2078	331197		1641	

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/816,579	LIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jacqueline DiRamio	1641				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
<u> </u>		by the Eveniner				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 March 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
<u> </u>		4.0				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	<u> </u>	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau		d III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	. ,,,	d.				
	:					
Attachment(s)	: 					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Page 1	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1 – 23 in the reply filed on November 9, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the inventions of Groups I and II operate on the same principles and are therefore not distinct. This is not found persuasive because the operation principle of two devices is not the basis for restriction between independent devices, the distinct structural differences between devices results in their restriction. As set forth on the record in the previous action, the inventions of Groups I and II have different modes of operation, different functions and different effects. Specifically:

Group I is an aerosol-particle analyzer requiring a charger and a gas-tight connection to the charger, which are not required by the analyzer of Group II.

Group II is an aerosol-particle analyzer requiring a gas and particle inlet through which the gas and any particles enter, which is not required by the analyzer of Group I.

Therefore, the delineated inventions of Groups I and II are in fact patentably distinct and independent from each other and would required undue burdensome and examination.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 24 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Art Unit: 1641

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

On pages 13-17 of the specification, "analyte 80" is disclosed with respect to Figure 1, however, this reference number is not found in Figure 1.

On page 14, line 11 of the specification, "shield electrode 490" is disclosed with respect to Figure 1, however, this reference number is not found in Figure 1.

On page 17, line 9 of the specification, "shield electrode 442" is disclosed with respect to Figure 1, however, this reference number is not found in Figure 1.

On page 20, line 17 of the specification, "structure-switching signaling aptamer 820" is disclosed with respect to Figure 3, however, this reference number is not found in Figure 3.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1641

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

In Figures 1 and 2, reference number "480" is displayed, however, this reference number is not disclosed in the specification.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 17, line 2, "particle counter 190" is disclosed, however this counter was previously labeled as "290" and is shown as "290" in Figure 1 (see p14, line 1).

On page 20, line 20, "Stem-2" is labeled as "822," however, "Stem-2" was previously labeled as "824" as is shown as "824" in Figure 3 (see p20, line 19).

Art Unit: 1641

On page 23, line 20, "analysis liquid" is labeled as "460," however, the "analysis liquid" was previously labeled as "450" and is show as "450" in Figures 1 and 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 – 8, 10, 13, and 15 – 23 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 – 9, 17 and 22 – 31 of copending U.S. Application No. 10/708,191.

The copending application teaches an aerosol-particle analyzer (APA) for measuring an analyte in particles in gas comprising:

(a) an analysis liquid chosen such that when the analysis liquid is mixed with the particles, an optical property of the analysis liquid varies according to the amount of the analyte in the particles;

Art Unit: 1641

- (b) a charged droplet generator, i.e. analysis-liquid-handling subsystem (ALHS) that holds the analysis liquid and generates a charged droplet of the analysis liquid (CDAL), i.e. CVALH, and ejects it out of a charged-droplet generator output when signaled to do so;
- (c) a charger that imparts an electrical charge to any particles accepted through the gas input;
- (d) a particle-droplet collision subsystem (PDCS), consisting of a gas tight container, having a charged-droplet input (gas tight connection) connected to the charged-droplet generator (ALHS), which accepts the CDAL (CVALH), a charged particle input connected via a gas-tight connection to the charger, through which gas and charged particles enter the gas-tight container, and a vacuum connection;
- (e) a vacuum pump connected to the gas-tight container that draws gas and particles into the gas-tight container;
- (f) a droplet analysis subsystem, which comprises a means to measure changes in the optical property of the CDAL (CVALH), so that the amount of analyte in the CDAL, and in the particles that combined with the CDAL, can be determined from these measurements of the optical property; and
- (g) a receptacle (collection vessel) to collect and store the droplet ejected after the optical property of the CDAL (CVALH) has been measured.

With respect to the limitations found in the instant application's claims 2 - 8, 10, 13, and 15 - 12, the copending application's claims 1 - 9, 17 and 22 - 31 anticipate these recited claims and limitations.

Art Unit: 1641

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline DiRamio whose telephone number is 571-

272-8785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long Le can be reached on 571-272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jackie DiRamio Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

05/25/06

Page 7